

Shoes For Fall and Winter Wear



BETTER THINGS COMING IN WAY OF ROAD WORK

STATE NOW IS DIVIDED INTO
FIVE DISTRICTS

**Carbon and Six Other Counties of the
Eastern Portion Under the Direct
Supervision of John H. Tuttle, En-
gineer in Charge, Who Has His
Permanent Headquarters at Price.**

For purposes of more efficient ad-
ministration of the state road work,
and in order to have an engineer
with authority accessible to the work
without communicating with Salt
Lake City from the outlying portions
of the state, the state has been divided
into five districts. Announcement
of the installation of the system has
been made from the office of the state
road engineer, Ira R. Browning.

District No. 1 under this system
will comprise Box Elder, Cache, Rich,
Weber and Morgan counties, and the
district engineer will be Ralph Armstrong,
with headquarters in Ogden, while District No. 2 will comprise
Salt Lake, Tooele, Wasatch, Summit,
Davis and Utah counties, and prob-
ably, after the present winter, Juab
as well. J. O. Bruson will be placed
in charge with headquarters at Salt
Lake City.

District No. 3 will comprise Wash-
ington, Iron, Beaver and Millard
counties, and district No. 4, Sanpete,
Sevier, Piute, Garfield, Wayne and
Kane counties, and H. S. Kerr of
Richfield will be in charge of both
these districts for the time being.
With the completion of the work in
Sevier Canyon at Marysville there
will be very little work in District No.
4 this winter, so that Kerr will be
able to give most of his attention to
No. 3. This, for the winter, will be
made to include Juab, and much of
Kerr's time will be given to the roads
connecting Juab and Millard with
the largest areas comprising
Uintah, Daggett, Duchesne, Carbon,
Sanpete county. District No. 5, Em-
ery, Grand and San Juan counties,
John H. Tuttle, with headquar-
ters at Price, will have charge.

Road building on the Lincoln high-
way route across the Great Salt Lake
desert is not without mechanical
and engineering difficulties. A tele-
gram received from R. E. Dilree, in
charge of construction work, tells of
the miring of all three of the cater-
pillar tractors of the state road equip-
ment, now at the scene. One tractor
got mired on "Mile 5," a difficult
portion of the road, and another was
sent to pull it out. It also got into
difficulties, the third had no better
success. The state road commission
is sending out a party from Salt Lake
City to assist Dilree in the work.

NORMAL HOURS DEFINED FOR CHRISTMAS SHOPPING.

The economy of Christmas giving
and Christmas shopping urged by the
council of national defense goes to
the extent of curtailing the usual
Christmas shopping hours in the
stores according to a communication
received lately by Secretary Arch M.
Thurman of the state council of de-
fense. Arthur M. Fleeming, chair-
man of the state council's section of
the council of national defense, sends a
copy of a telegram he sent to the
Massachusetts committee of public at
Boston. The Massachusetts committee
asked for a ruling as to the meaning
of the expression "normal working
hours," wanting to know whether this
meant normal working hours for the
entire year or hours that were normal
as judged by all previous Christmas
shopping seasons. The telegram
reads:

"The national council holds your in-
terpretation regarding hours of labor
correct. The term normal working
hours as used in the agreement be-
tween the national council and repre-
sentatives of business interests re-
garding Christmas buying means nor-
mal hours throughout the year, not
hours during previous Christmas seasons.
The National Chamber of Com-
merce has already on its own initiative
adopted this construction in answering inquiries. Please so advise
trade associations inquiring of you."

SHOES WILL BE CLASSED BY FIRST OF NOVEMBER

Progress in establishing the new
maximum and the minimum price
schedule for shoes from twelve dollars
to three dollars was announced a few
days ago by Chairman Barnes of the
war industries board. By November
1st, it is stated, many stores will have
on their shelves the new classified
shoes in addition to present stocks at
present prices. "Orders now in the
factory," the statement says, "are for
spring delivery, so it follows that
there will be a certain portion of the
product offered for sale to the spring
trade at a price in excess of twelve
dollars. To permit these articles to
be cleaned out and also to eliminate
the disposal of the present stocks
priced above the top maximum, it has
been agreed that those retailers
specializing in high-priced goods shall
be given until June 1st to liquidate all
their stocks above the class A (nine
dollars) twelve dollars maximum."

The poor lawyer is apt to have few
trials but many tribulations.

All Kinds of
SOFT DRINKS, FLAVORING
SYRUPS, CANDY AND
DRUM GAS.
Goods Delivered At Your Homes
Promptly.
Out of Town Orders Solicited
and Given Prompt
Attention.
Phone 24 Price, Utah

Be prepared for an emergency and
the chances are ten to one it won't
turn up.

HON. C. S. VARIAN DISSECTS PRO- POSED MINE AMENDMENT

(Continued from page one.)

The value on a submultiple of this
amount might result in an assessment
upon a valuation not to exceed
\$100,000. To multiply the sum of \$100,-
000 by the submultiple would result
in not getting anywhere above the
actual net proceeds, so the whole
number to be employed in raising
the amount for taxation to meet the
claims of the board of equalization
would be entirely familiar. He sug-
gests that the mines pay only a tax
on their profits. Secretary Bennion
knows and ought to have mentioned
that the mines are taxed on the
average of their claims at values
considerably higher than the average
for agricultural acreage and also on
all their improvements, the total of
these items amounting to something
like \$15,000,000 in addition to their
net proceeds. He also knows that the
not proceeds tax is not a tax on
profits, as the law and practices of
the state board of equalization do not
permit such deductions as would
make this a tax on profits. It is in
fact, about 56 per cent more than
the actual profits.

Secretary Bennion says mines are
not taxed on their actual value. What
is the measure of a mine's value if
it is not on which it is now taxed,
not its value estimate entirely of
its mineral contents and they yield
nothing until they are taken out and
the state taxes them as they come out.
In other words, the minevalue is
taxed in every part of the property
whose use is enjoyed by the present
method of taxation. In this respect,
a mine is unlike most other classes
of property such as land or factories,
whose owners enjoy every year the
use of the entire property. To tax
the unutilized and unknown values
in a mine is to tax a man on property
which is not in his possession
and which, in fact, may not exist.
Even supposing it were possible to
ascertain how much ore is located in
a given mine, who can tell what it
will be worth when it is taken out?
In other words, what is the value in
day of a pound of lead or a ton of
coal which will be mined twenty
years from now? Lead is worth
only twice what it was three or four
years ago. This is an argument of the
war. Will it be worth as much in
twenty years as it is now? Will
there be another war twenty years
from now?

The answers to both questions
will probably be equally valuable. Of course,
nobody knows the answer to either
and that is just why this talk of "full
value" of a mine is merely talk. Min-
ing engineers have dropped it from
consideration entirely and base their
valuation of a mine on the character
of the ore, on geological influences
as to its continuity and on assumptions
as to what it will be worth when
taken out. The valuation is specula-
tive, and they frankly say so much.
This is one of the inherent risks of
mining. The state, however, is not
confined to inference or assumption.
It gets an exact statement of the pro-
duct as mined and taxes it every
year. The mineowner takes the
chance. There are mines in this
state that have levied assessments
one year to pay the net proceeds tax
of the previous year and met other
operating expenses.

I do not agree with Secretary
Bennion's statement that the operation
of the proposed amendment would present a barrier
to the spoliation of property by
the state. It is true as pointed out
by the supreme court of the United States,
the state may, if it chooses,
exempt certain classes of property
from any taxation at all, such as
churches, libraries and the property
of charitable institutions. It may
impose different specific taxes upon
different trades and professions, and
may vary the rates of excise upon
various products. It may tax real
estate and personal property in
a different manner. It may allow de-
ductions for indebtedness or not
allow them. All such regulations
and those of like character as long
as they proceed within reasonable
limits and general usage, are within
the discretion of the state legislature
or the people of the state in framing
their constitution. But clearcut hu-
man discriminations against particular
persons and classes, especially such
as are of an unusual character, un-
known to the practice of our govern-
ment, might be obnoxious to the con-
stitutional prohibition.

Bell's Gap Railroad Company vs
Pennsylvania, 181 U. S. 239-247.
In an earlier case the court had de-
clared that the fourteenth amend-
ment undoubtedly intended not only
that there should be no arbitrary de-
privation of life or liberty or
arbitrary spoliation of property, but
that equal protection and security
should be given to all under like
circumstances in the enjoyment of
their personal and civil rights.

Hamer vs. Connally, 123 U. S. 27-
31. It is the settled doctrine of the
court that the assessment of a tax is
action judicial in its nature, requiring
for the legal exertion of the power
such opportunity to appear and be
heard as circumstances of the case
may require; and, further, that the
supreme court of the United States
is the ultimate arbiter of rights se-
cured by the federal constitution, and
is charged with the duty of determining
whether the taxpayer has been
afforded due process of law, and
that due process of law demands
notice and opportunity to be heard as
to whether the property is subject to
taxation, and if so, whether the valua-
tion imposed are nondiscriminating
and lawful.

Central of Georgia R. R. Co. vs
Wright, 207 U. S. 127.

Supreme Court Quoted.

Central of Georgia Railroad com-
pany vs. Wright, 207 U. S. 127. The
fact that the taxing scheme pro-
posed, if adopted, will be a part of
the state constitution adds nothing to
its potentiality. As said by the
supreme court: "But when the ques-
tion is whether a tax imposed by a
state deprives a party of rights se-
cured by the federal constitution the
decision is not dependent upon the
form in which the taxing scheme is
cast, nor upon the characterization
of that scheme as adopted by the
state court. We must regard the
substantives, rather than the form,

"UNCLE" JESSE KNIGHT ANSWERS HON. HARDEN BENNION

"Uncle" Jesse Knight of Provo
makes reply in the Deseret News of
his opposition to an article in defense
of the proposed constitutional amendment
on mine taxation by Secretary
of State Harden Bennion. Secretary
Bennion says "Uncle" Jesse omits
from his statement some facts with
which he is a public offhander for
many years and a former member
of the state board of equalization
was not entirely familiar. He sug-
gests that the mines pay only a tax
on their profits. Secretary Bennion
knows and ought to have mentioned
that the mines are taxed on the
average of their claims at values
considerably higher than the average
for agricultural acreage and also on
all their improvements, the total of
these items amounting to something
like \$15,000,000 in addition to their
net proceeds. He also knows that the
not proceeds tax is not a tax on
profits, as the law and practices of
the state board of equalization do not
permit such deductions as would
make this a tax on profits. It is in
fact, about 56 per cent more than
the actual profits.

Secretary Bennion says mines are
not taxed on their actual value. What
is the measure of a mine's value if
it is not on which it is now taxed,
not its value estimate entirely of
its mineral contents and they yield
nothing until they are taken out and
the state taxes them as they come out.
In other words, the minevalue is
taxed in every part of the property
whose use is enjoyed by the present
method of taxation. In this respect,
a mine is unlike most other classes
of property such as land or factories,
whose owners enjoy every year the
use of the entire property. To tax
the unutilized and unknown values
in a mine is to tax a man on property
which is not in his possession
and which, in fact, may not exist.
Even supposing it were possible to
ascertain how much ore is located in
a given mine, who can tell what it
will be worth when it is taken out?
In other words, what is the value in
day of a pound of lead or a ton of
coal which will be mined twenty
years from now? Lead is worth
only twice what it was three or four
years ago. This is an argument of the
war. Will it be worth as much in
twenty years as it is now? Will
there be another war twenty years
from now?

The answers to both questions
will probably be equally valuable. Of course,
nobody knows the answer to either
and that is just why this talk of "full
value" of a mine is merely talk. Min-
ing engineers have dropped it from
consideration entirely and base their
valuation of a mine on the character
of the ore, on geological influences
as to its continuity and on assumptions
as to what it will be worth when
taken out. The valuation is specula-
tive, and they frankly say so much.
This is one of the inherent risks of
mining. The state, however, is not
confined to inference or assumption.
It gets an exact statement of the pro-
duct as mined and taxes it every
year. The mineowner takes the
chance. There are mines in this
state that have levied assessments
one year to pay the net proceeds tax
of the previous year and met other
operating expenses.

I do not agree with Secretary
Bennion's statement that the operation
of the proposed amendment would present a barrier
to the spoliation of property by
the state. It is true as pointed out
by the supreme court of the United States,
the state may, if it chooses,
exempt certain classes of property
from any taxation at all, such as
churches, libraries and the property
of charitable institutions. It may
impose different specific taxes upon
different trades and professions, and
may vary the rates of excise upon
various products. It may tax real
estate and personal property in
a different manner. It may allow de-
ductions for indebtedness or not
allow them. All such regulations
and those of like character as long
as they proceed within reasonable
limits and general usage, are within
the discretion of the state legislature
or the people of the state in framing
their constitution. But clearcut hu-
man discriminations against particular
persons and classes, especially such
as are of an unusual character, un-
known to the practice of our govern-
ment, might be obnoxious to the con-
stitutional prohibition.

Bell's Gap Railroad Company vs
Pennsylvania, 181 U. S. 239-247.
In an earlier case the court had de-
clared that the fourteenth amend-
ment undoubtedly intended not only
that there should be no arbitrary de-
privation of life or liberty or
arbitrary spoliation of property, but
that equal protection and security
should be given to all under like
circumstances in the enjoyment of
their personal and civil rights.

Hamer vs. Connally, 123 U. S. 27-
31. It is the settled doctrine of the
court that the assessment of a tax is
action judicial in its nature, requiring
for the legal exertion of the power
such opportunity to appear and be
heard as circumstances of the case
may require; and, further, that the
supreme court of the United States
is the ultimate arbiter of rights se-
cured by the federal constitution, and
is charged with the duty of determining
whether the taxpayer has been
afforded due process of law, and
that due process of law demands
notice and opportunity to be heard as
to whether the property is subject to
taxation, and if so, whether the valua-
tion imposed are nondiscriminating
and lawful.

Central of Georgia R. R. Co. vs
Wright, 207 U. S. 127.

Supreme Court Quoted.

Central of Georgia Railroad com-
pany vs. Wright, 207 U. S. 127. The
fact that the taxing scheme pro-
posed, if adopted, will be a part of
the state constitution adds nothing to
its potentiality. As said by the
supreme court: "But when the ques-
tion is whether a tax imposed by a
state deprives a party of rights se-
cured by the federal constitution the
decision is not dependent upon the
form in which the taxing scheme is
cast, nor upon the characterization
of that scheme as adopted by the
state court. We must regard the
substantives, rather than the form,

and the controlling test is to be

found in the operation and effect of
the law as applied and enforced by
the state.

St. Louis Southwestern vs. Arkansas,
225 U. S. 282. It must be evident
to every open mind, that the
proposed amendment ignores the
principles upon which the institutions
of our government rest. The
principles of our government rest
upon the fifth amendment to the
constitution of the United States, and
conveyed the same meaning as "law
of the land," the words of the great
charter of human rights sealed by
John and the barons at Runnymede.
Their meaning and effect is as said
by Justice Johnson in delivering the
opinion of the court in Bank of
Columbia vs. Okely, 1 Whiston, 248.

"As to the words of Magna Charta
incorporated into the constitution of
Maryland, after volumes spoken and

LIBERTY PARTY PLACES THIRD TICKET IN FIELD

WELLINGTON MAN NAMED FOR
THE LEGISLATURE.

First Open Air Convention Ever Held
In Carbon County Pailed Off Saturday
Evening Last At City Hall Square — Some Excellent Men
Chosen For the Various Places.

Because of the order of the state
board of health forbidding all public
gatherings during the prevalence of the
influenza epidemic throughout the
state, the first outdoor political con-
vention in Carbon county was held on
the City Hall grounds by those be-
hind the third party last Saturday evening.
The movement has been officially
christened the "Liberty party," and a full county ticket has
been put in the field. At Saturday evening's gathering only a portion of the
nominations were made, the others being adopted Monday last by
a committee named for that purpose.
Nominations made Saturday evening

Representative—A. X. Marshall of
Wellington.
Commissioner, Four Years—George
Fletcher of Morris.
Commissioner, Two Years—W. B.
Brown of Bynum.
Assessor—R. E. Davidson of
Springfield.
Sheriff—Matt Warner of Price.
The committee named to make up
the remainder of the ticket is composed of J. Wilbur Barnham, A. R.
McMullin, P. H. Short, Jas. Franklin
and D. M. Davis. The committee
has endorsed H. S. Hobson, demo-
cratic nominee for treasurer, and also Mrs. Lee S. Thomas of Scofield
for recorder.

W. A. Thayn of Wellington was
designated as the liberty party candidate
for supervisor.

Judge F. E. Weeks of Price is en-
dorsed for county attorney. He is
the only republican taken into the
fold.

Yesterday H. C. Smith, democratic
candidate for clerk, was endorsed by
the liberty party. H. E. Davidson
having withdrawn from the ticket in
favor of Smith. Davidson says he is
a democrat and will not do anything
to in any way injure the success of
the democratic ticket.

Lars Gundersen is continued as
county chairman and Oliver T. Har-
rison, secretary of the third party
organization.

Fares on Mail Cars—Leave Price
Every Morning at 5 O'Clock. Effective
January 1, 1918.

PETIT JURORS DRAWS FOR
OCTOBER DISTRICT COURT

District court for Carbon county
convenes at Price, October 21st, instead
of the 18th, as was erroneously
stated in last week's Sun. The jurors
for service at this term are J. O.
Prestett, Jerry Olson, Pierre Jean-
selme, McGraw Wilson, and O. T.
Brooks of Price; Antonio Labot,
Peter Babine, W. S. Avery, Ben Mon-
roe and Alex Brown of Helper; Parley
Anderson and George W. Pearson of
Hawthorne; Tolleson Evans and John
Hardy of Bynum; Robert Menzies,
W. Robert Olson and William Street,
Winter Quarters; Miles T. Rowley,
Spring Glenn; Julius Jones, J. J.
Benson and George Jackson of
Kimball; Gus Adams of Standard-
ville; John Batchelder and William
Cawley, Castle Gate; Henry Day and
William E. Russ, Morris; John Bell
and John Poma, Bynum; Reese
Phillips, Clear Creek; M. A. Draper,
Wellington.

In the game of life a little woman's
wiles will generally prevail against a
big man's size.

Debt is likely to worry the man who
is unable to obtain credit.

written with a view to their exposition,
the good sense of mankind has
at length settled down to this—that
they were intended to secure the
individual from the arbitrary exer-
cise of the powers of government,
unrestrained by the established
principles of private rights and dis-
tributive justice.

<p